

Message

From: Morris, Jennifer [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A9E64161294641729CBB8A981C439629-MORRIS, JENNIFER]
Sent: 10/20/2020 2:11:20 PM
To: Dawn Danielson [ddanielson@ecia.org]
Subject: RE: ECIA - Clinton Engines

Dawn,

I think it is a good thing to keep in mind. It would also have been a limit for him had he come in for an individual grant. There is no limit to the amount of RLF to loan.

So if it turns out that removing the ground water is cleaning it while you are monitoring it the RLF may be an approach there.

Also I think this is good that there is a conscious effort to limit the amount one site consumes. Now this is JUST referencing the amount spent on the assessment. You can still spend planning dollars there over and above the 200-350. (I will clarify.)

Thanks,
Jennifer

From: Dawn Danielson <ddanielson@ecia.org>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2020 4:02 PM
To: Morris, Jennifer <Morris.Jennifer@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ECIA - Clinton Engines

Jennifer

I haven't talked to Gerald in a while on the site. Just wanted to make sure I knew what if any I could tell him the cap was in case he comes back for more.

Thanks,
Dawn

From: Morris, Jennifer <Morris.Jennifer@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2020 3:31 PM
To: Dawn Danielson <ddanielson@ecia.org>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: ECIA - Clinton Engines

Dawn,

I think keeping it to that 60k for now and know you need a waiver if you are going to go over is wise.

At the moment the site is not eligible, right? We'd need to discuss add back or eligibility for the water work. What are you thinking?

None of the funds expended at that site under the TBA or the Superfund programs would count toward the 350,000 cap with a waiver on assessment funds spent on the site.

Thanks for your question.

Jennifer

From: Dawn Danielson <ddanielson@ecia.org>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2020 2:10 PM
To: Morris, Jennifer <Morris.Jennifer@epa.gov>
Subject: ECIA - Clinton Engines

Jennifer

My question on funding make per site was regarding Clinton Engines. I misstated that we were over \$150,000 but we are close. We spent \$139,698.62 on the last grant. Do we need to make sure we don't spend more than \$60,301 on the new assessment grant we have?

It sounded like the TAB funds I don't need to worry about counting towards the total as that is a service and not grant funds if I understood correctly in the response.

Dawn Danielson | Development Coordinator
Brownfields Project Manager
East Central Intergovernmental Association | 7600 Commerce Park, Dubuque, IA 52002
P 563.690.5772 | F 563.556.0348 | C 563.580.1976

ECIA
Business Growth INC.
Financing for Growing Businesses



Privacy Notice: The information contained in this message and any attachment is intended only for the sole use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are absolutely prohibited from copying, distributing, disseminating, or sharing this information in any way with any other person or third party, unless you receive written consent from the sender. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, or you have received this message in error, please reply to the sender and delete the communication from your computer, network, and any archive system immediately.